

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,907	07/29/2003	John F. Schaupp	12545	8115	
759	7590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
Lisa M. Soltis			GANEY, STEVEN J		
Illinois Tool Wo 3600 West Lake		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Glenview, IL 60025			3752		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Response to Rule 312 Communication Application No. Applicant(s)				.
Examiner Steven J. Ganey The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - 1. The amendment filed on 26 May 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) entered. b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Steven J. Ganey The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - 1. The amendment filed on 26 May 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) entered. b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as	Banana ta Bula 242 Communication	10/628,907 SCHAUP		IN F.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – 1. The amendment filed on 26 May 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) entered. b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as	Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit	
 The amendment filed on 26 May 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) = entered. b) = entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) = disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.		Steven J. Ganey	3752	
 The amendment filed on 26 May 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) = entered. b) = entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) = disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address –
 a) ☐ entered. b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1 and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as 				· •
 a) ☐ entered. b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1 and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as 				1
 a) ☐ entered. b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1 and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as 	1. The amendment filed on 26 May 2006 under 37 CFR 1	1.312 has been considered, a	nd has been:	i
 c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.				
 Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(¹ and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☑ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as 	b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	ng the scope of the invention.		
 Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1 and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☑ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as 	c) disapproved because the amendment was filed a	after the payment of the issue	fee.	
 d) ☑ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as 		• •		CFR 1.313(c)(
e) entered in part. See explanation below. The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as	and the required fee to withdraw the application	on from issue.		
The specification as originally filed did not warrant any changes as currently proposed by the applicant. The examiner proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as	d) 🛛 disapproved. See explanation below.			
proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with the specification. In the drawings, Figure 4 should be changed as	e) entered in part. See explanation below.			
	proposed changes to the drawings to be consistent with	changes as currently proposed the specification. In the drav	d by the applicant. The exa vings, Figure 4 should be ch	miner nanged as
				-

STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER